

Fluid Management in Surgery

	Stroke Volume Optimisation		Minimise respiratory swing SVV or PPV	DO ₂ /CI target
	ODM-guided	PPWA-guided		
RCTs	12 ¹⁻¹²	3 ¹³⁻¹⁵	4 ¹⁶⁻¹⁹ †	2 ²⁰⁻²¹
RCT patients	1,126	259	207 †	77
Other published trials/audits	5 ²²⁻²⁶	-	1 ²⁷	-
Patients	2,407	-	50	-
Reduce complications	✓✓✓	?	✓†	?
Reduce hospital stay	✓✓✓	X	? †	X
Reduce ICU stay	✓✓	X	X	X
Reduce operating times	✓✓	X	X	X
Clinical meta-analyses	5 ^{24, 28-31}	X	-	X
Types of surgery	Cardiac, orthopaedic, colorectal, renal urological, other abdominal, gynaecological, plastic, vascular, transplant: elective & emergency	Vascular, orthopaedic: elective	Abdominal: elective	Vascular, orthopaedic: elective
Government systematic reviews	6 ³²⁻³⁷ (UK, USA & Spain)	-	††	-
Non-Government systematic reviews	-	1 x LiDCO ('C' rating*) ³⁸		
Technologies used (RCTs and audits)	15 x CardioQ-ODM - 1 x TECO, 1 x Hemosonic	3 x LiDCOplus	3 x FloTrac †, 1 x LiDCOplus, 1 x PiCCO	1 x FloTrac

KEY ODM, Oesophageal Doppler Monitor; PPWA, Pulse Pressure Waveform Analysis; SVV, Stroke Volume Variation; PPV, Pulse Pressure Variation; DO₂, Delivered Oxygen; CI, Cardiac Index.

✓✓✓ Level 1A evidence: RCTs, meta-analyses, & government sponsored systematic reviews

✓✓ Level 1A evidence: Some RCTs & government sponsored systematic reviews

✓ Individual trials with statistically significant results

? Individual trials with non-significant results or some significant results but contradicted by other trials

X Absence of impact reported or not examined

* Potential but unproven benefit. Some published evidence suggests that safety and impact on health outcomes are at least comparable to standard treatment/testing. However, substantial uncertainty remains about safety and/or impact on health outcomes because of poor-quality studies, sparse data, conflicting study results, and/or other concern.

NOTES † Mayer, Boldt et al ³⁹ study using FloTrac excluded: subject to retraction †† NICE commissioned review concluded CardioQ-ODM is dominant. CardioQ-ODM delivers both better outcomes and lower cost.

Randomised Controlled Trials

Lead author	Type of surgery	Technology	Fluid management strategy	No. of patients	Additional colloid given	Reduction in post-operative complications	Reduction in hospital stay	Reduction in ICU stay
Mythen, 1995 ¹	Cardiac	ODM – CardioQ-ODM	Stroke volume optimisation	60	~ 650 mL	100% reduction	3.7 days – 37%	0.7 days – 41%
Sinclair, 1997 ²	Orthopaedic	ODM – CardioQ-ODM	Stroke volume optimisation	40	750 mL	Not reported	5 days – 36% in fit for discharge	Not reported
Venn, 2002 ³	Orthopaedic	ODM – CardioQ-ODM	Stroke volume optimisation	90	759 mL	54% reduction in post-operative complications	6.2 days – 45% in fit for discharge	Not reported
Gan, 2002 ⁴	General, Urological & Gynaecological	ODM – CardioQ-ODM	Stroke volume optimisation	100	565 mL	45% reduction	2 days – 29%	Not reported
Conway, 2002 ⁵	Colorectal	ODM – TECO	Stroke volume optimisation	57	632 mL	Not reported	1 day increase – 9%	3 day reduction (3 vs 0)
Wakeling, 2005 ⁶	Colorectal	ODM – CardioQ-ODM	Stroke volume optimisation	128	500 mL	37% reduction – 38 vs 24 patients	1.5 days – 13%	Not reported
Noblett, 2006 ⁷	Colorectal	ODM – CardioQ-ODM	Stroke volume optimisation	108	131 mL	100% reduction in life threatening complications (n=4) and mortality (n=1) vs zero	3 days – 33% in fit for discharge	Not reported
Senagore, 2009 ⁸	Colorectal	ODM – CardioQ-ODM	Stroke volume optimisation – adjusted	64	Not reported	None re crystalloid, increase re colloid	No difference between groups	Not reported
Challand, 2011 ⁹	Colorectal	ODM – CardioQ-ODM	Stroke volume optimisation	179	1,360 mL	Non-significant reductions in serious complications and increases in other complications	2 day increase – 31% (not significant)	Not reported
Pillai, 2011 ¹⁰	Urological	ODM – CardioQ-ODM	Stroke volume optimisation	66	Not reported - estimate 300 mL	Reduced PONV, wound infection etc	4 day – 18% (not significant)	Not reported
Brandstrup, 2012 ^{11^a}	Colorectal	ODM – CardioQ-ODM	Stroke volume optimisation	150	335 mL	No difference between groups	No difference between groups	Not reported
Srinivasa, 2013 ^{12^a}	Colorectal	ODM – CardioQ-ODM	Stroke volume optimisation	84	294 mL	No difference between groups	No difference between groups	Not reported
Bartha, 2012 ¹³	Orthopaedic	PPWA - LiDCOplus	Stroke volume optimisation (with additional DO ₂ target)	149	430 mL	No difference in total number of complications, or in the relative risk of complications in survivors.	No difference between groups	No difference between groups
Bisgaard, 2012 ¹⁴	Vascular	PPWA - LiDCOplus	Stroke volume optimisation	70	72 mL (intra-op) + 262 mL (first 6 h post-op)	No difference between groups	No difference between groups	No difference between groups
Bisgaard, 2012 ¹⁵	Vascular	PPWA - LiDCOplus	Stroke volume optimisation	40	250 mL (intra-op) + 500 mL (first 6 h post-op)	55% reduction in patients with complications	No difference between groups	No difference between groups
Harten, 2008 ¹⁶	Abdominal	PPWA - LiDCOplus	Minimisation of respiratory swing	29	750 mL	39% increase in post-operative complications (not significant)	5.5 day increase – 46% (not significant)	Not reported
Buettner, 2008 ¹⁷	Abdominal	PPWA - PiCCO	Minimisation of respiratory swing	80	500 mL	Not reported	1 day – 6% non significant	16 hour reduction – 40% (not significant)
Benes, 2010 ¹⁸	Abdominal	PPWA - FloTrac	Minimisation of respiratory swing	120	425 mL	48.5% reduction in patients with complications	1 day – 10% non significant	No difference between groups
Ramsingh, 2012 ¹⁹	Abdominal	PPWA - FloTrac	Minimisation of respiratory swing	38	122 mL	Not reported	2.5 days - 33%	Not reported
Van der Linden, 2010 ²⁰	Vascular	PPWA - FloTrac	DO ₂ /CI Target	37	250 mL	No difference between groups	4 day increase - 30% increase (not significant)	Not reported
Cecconi, 2011 ²¹	Orthopaedic	PPWA - FloTrac	DO ₂ /CI Target	40	1,544 mL	Yes – complications in 100% of control group vs 80% of intervention	No difference between groups	Not reported

^a Both studies are comparisons of ODM-guided fluid management vs. 'restrictive'/zero-balance' fluid administration. These differ from the previous ODM RCTs where the control groups received 'routine' fluid administration (typical of the more traditional definition of a 'control' group).

Non Randomised Trials Reporting Outcomes

Lead author	Type of surgery	Technology	Fluid management strategy	No. of patients	Additional colloid given	Reduction in post-operative complications	Reduction in hospital stay	Reduction in ICU stay
NHS National Technology Adoption Centre ²²	Colorectal, Urological, Vascular, Orthopaedic, Transplant, [other]	ODM - CardioQ-ODM	Stroke volume optimisation	1,307	252 mL	Not reported except non-significant reductions in readmissions to critical care and to hospital, reoperations and mortality	3.6 days – 19% based on increase in usage from 11% to 65%	5.3 days – 45% in ICU; no change in HDU
Figus, 2011 ²³	Plastic	ODM - CardioQ-ODM	Stroke volume optimisation	104	Not reported	Yes - strong trend towards a reduction (44%) in the risk of flap-related complications	1.9 days - 18% (not significant)	Not reported
Feldheiser, 2012 ²⁴	Non-cardiac	ODM - CardioQ-ODM	Stroke volume optimisation (with additional maintenance of MAP >70 mm Hg, and Cardiac Index >2.5 L/min/m ²)	658	Not reported	Not reported, although significant reductions in the need for postoperative ventilator therapy (4.8% of Doppler group vs. 18.3% of conventional care group)	8.2 days - 32%	Not reported
Chattopadhyay, 2013 ²⁵	Gynaecological	CardioQ-ODM	Stroke volume optimisation	198	~150 mL	73% reduction in postoperative nausea and vomiting in advanced stage disease patients (n=106/198). Use of ODM associated with earlier postoperative recovery: odds ratio = 2.83 (P=0.02)	Use of ODM associated with earlier 'time to fitness for discharge': odds ratio = 2.81 (P=0.05)	Not reported
Mannova, 2013 ²⁶	Vascular	ODM - Hemosonic	Stroke volume optimisation	140	Not reported	62% reduction in major post-operative complications	1 day - 9%	2 days - 33%
Wang, 2012 ²⁷	Transplant	PPWA - FloTrac	Minimisation of respiratory swing	50	144 mL	No difference in the incidence of acute kidney injury, or in 30-day or 1-year survival	Not reported	Not reported

REFERENCES

- Mythen MG, Webb AR. Perioperative plasma volume expansion reduces the incidence of gut mucosal hypoperfusion during cardiac surgery. *Arch Surg* 1995; 130: 423-9
- Sinclair S, James S, Singer M. Intraoperative intravascular volume optimisation and length of hospital stay after repair of proximal femoral fracture: randomised controlled trial. *Br Med J* 1997; 315: 909-12
- Venn R, Steele A, Richardson P, Poloniecki J, Grounds M, Newman P. Randomized controlled trial to investigate influence off the fluid challenge on duration of hospital stay and perioperative morbidity in patients with hip fractures. *Br J Anaesth* 2002; 88(1): 65-71
- Gan TJ, Soppitt A, Maroof M, El-Moalem H, Robertson KM, Moretti E, Dwane P, Glass PSA. Goal-directed intraoperative fluid administration reduces length of hospital stay after major surgery. *Anesthesiol* 2002; 97: 820-6
- Conway DH, Mayall R, Abdul-Latif MS, Gilligan S, Tackaberry C. Randomised controlled trial investigating the influence of intravenous fluid titration using oesophageal Doppler monitoring during bowel surgery. *Anaesth* 2002; 57: 845-9
- Wakeling HG, McFall MR, Jenkins CS, Woods WGA, Miles WFA, Barclay GR, Fleming SC. Intraoperative oesophageal Doppler guided fluid management shortens postoperative hospital stay after major bowel surgery. *Br J Anaesth* 2005; 95(5): 634-642
- Noblett SE, Snowden CP, Shenton BK, Horgan AF. Randomized clinical trial assessing the effect of Doppler-optimized fluid management on outcome after elective colorectal resection. *Br J Surg* 2006; 93: 1069-76
- Senagore AJ, Emery T, Luchtfeld M, Kim D, Dujovny N, Hoedema R. Fluid management for laparoscopic colectomy: a prospective, randomized assessment of goal-directed administration of balanced salt solution or hetastarch coupled with an enhanced recovery program. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2009; 52(12): 1935-40
- Challand C, Struthers R, Sneyd JR, Erasmus PD, Mellor N, Hosie B, Minto G. Randomized controlled trial of intraoperative goal-directed fluid therapy in aerobically fit and unfit patients having major colorectal surgery. *Br J Anaesth* 2012; 108(1): 53-62
- Pillai P, McEleavy I, Gaughan M, Snowden C, Nesbitt I, Durkan G, Johnson M, Cosgrove J, Thorpe A. A double-blind randomized controlled clinical trial to assess the effect of Doppler optimized intraoperative fluid Management on outcome following radical cystectomy. *J Urol* 2012; 186(6): 2201-6
- Brandstrup B, Svendsen PE, Rasumussen M, Belhage B, Rodt SA, Hansen B, Møller DR, Lundbeck LB, Andersen N, Berg V, Thomassen N, Andersen ST, Simonsen L. Which goal for fluid therapy during colorectal surgery is followed by the best outcome: near maximal stroke volume or zero fluid balance? *Br J Anaesth* 2012; 109(2): 191-9
- Srinivasa S, Taylor MGH, Singh PP, Yu T-C, Soop M, Hill AG. Randomized clinical trial of goal-directed fluid therapy within an enhanced recovery protocol for elective colectomy. *Br J Surg* 2013; 100(1): 66-74
- Bartha E, Arfwedson C, Imnell A, Fernlund ME, Andersson LE, Kalman S. Randomized controlled trial of goal-directed haemodynamic treatment in patients with proximal femoral fracture. *Br J Anaesth* 2012; [epub ahead of print]
- Bisgaard J, Gilsaa T, Rønholm E, Toft P. Optimising stroke volume and oxygen delivery in abdominal aortic surgery: a randomised controlled trial. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* . 2012; [epub ahead of print]
- Bisgaard J, Gilsaa T, Rønholm E, Toft P. Haemodynamic optimisation in lower limb arterial surgery: room for improvement? *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* , 2012; [epub ahead of print]

- 16 Harten J, Crozier JEM, McCreath B, Hay A, McMillan DC, McArdle CS, Kinsella J. Effect of intraoperative fluid optimisation on renal function in patients undergoing emergency abdominal surgery: a randomised controlled pilot study *Int J Surg* . 2008; 6(3): 197-204
- 17 Buettnner M, Schummer W, Huettemann E, Schenke S, van Hout N, Sakka SG. Influence of systolic-pressure-variation-guided intraoperative fluid management on organ function and oxygen transport. *Br J Anaesth* 2008; 101(2): 194-9
- 18 Benes J, Chytra I, Altmann P, Hluchy M, Kasal E, Svitak R, Pradi R, Stepan M. Intraoperative fluid optimization using stroke volume variation in high risk surgical patients: results of prospective randomized study. *Crit Care* 2010; 14(3): R118
- 19 Ramsingh DS, Sanghvi C, Gamboa J, Cannesson M, Appelgate II RL. Outcome impact of goal directed fluid therapy during high risk abdominal surgery in low to moderate risk patients: a randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Monit Comput* 2012; [epub ahead of print]
- 20 Van der Linden PJ, Dierick A, Wilmin S, Bellens B, De Hert SG. A randomized controlled trial comparing an intraoperative goal-directed strategy with routine clinical practice in patients undergoing peripheral arterial surgery. *Eur J Anaesthesiol*. 2010; 27(9): 788-93.
- 21 Cecconi M, Fasano N, Langiano N, Divella M, Costa MG, Rhodes A, Della Rocca G. Goal-directed haemodynamic therapy during elective total hip arthroplasty under regional anaesthesia. *Crit Care* 2011; 15(3): R132
- 22 National Technology Adoption Centre. 'How to why to' guide - Doppler Guided Intraoperative Fluid Management. <http://www.ntac.nhs.uk/HowToWhyToGuides/How-to-Why-to-Guides.aspx>
- 23 Figus A, Wade RG, Oakley S, Ramakrishnan VV. Intraoperative esophageal Doppler hemodynamic monitoring in free perforator flap surgery. *Ann Plast Surg* 2011. [epub ahead of print]
- 24 Feldheiser A, Conroy P, Bonomo T, Cox B, Ruiz Garces T, Spies C. Development and feasibility study of an algorithm for intraoperative goal-directed haemodynamic management in non-cardiac surgery. *J Int Med Res* 2012; 40: 1227-41
- 25 Chattopadhyay S, Mittal S, Christian S, Terblanche AL, Patel A, Biliatis I, Kucukmetin A, Naik R, Galaal K. The role of intraoperative fluid optimization using the esophageal Doppler in advanced gynecological cancer: early postoperative recovery and fitness for discharge. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 2013; 23(1): 199-207
- 26 Mannova HJ, Silhart Z, Sevick P, Prokes A. Perioperative haemodynamic monitoring by oesophageal Doppler improves outcome of patients with abdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *Bratis Lek Listy* 2013; 114(2): 78-83
- 27 Wang S-C, Teng W-N, Chang K-Y, Mandell MS, Ting C-K, Chu Y-C, Loong C-C, Chan K-H, Tsou M-Y. Fluid management guided by stroke volume variation failed to decrease the incidence of acute kidney injury, 30-day mortality, and i-year survival in living donor liver transplant recipients. *J Chin Med Assoc* 2012; 75: 654-9
- 28 Abbas SM, Hill AG. Systematic review of the literature for the use of oesophageal Doppler monitor for fluid replacement in major abdominal surgery. *Anaesth* 2008; 63: 44-51
- 29 Walsh SR, Tang T, Bass S, Gaunt ME. Doppler-guided intra-operative fluid management during major abdominal surgery: systematic review and metaanalysis. *Int J Clin Pract* 2008; 62: 466-70
- 30 Phan TD, Ismail H, Heriot AG, Ho KM. Improving Perioperative Outcomes: Fluid Optimization with the Esophageal Doppler Monitor, a Metaanalysis and Review. *J Am Coll Surg* 2008; 207(6): 935-41
- 31 Giglio MT, Marucci M, Testini M, Brienza N. Goal-directed haemodynamic therapy and gastrointestinal complications in major surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Br J Anaesth* 2009; 103(5): 637-46
- 32 Evidence review: Oesophageal Doppler monitoring in patients undergoing high-risk surgery and in critically ill patients. CEP08012. NHS Purchasing and Supply Agency; 2008
- 33 Mowatt G, Houston G, Hernandez R, de Verteuil R, Fraser C, Cuthbertson B and Vale L. Systematic review of the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of oesophageal Doppler monitoring in critically ill and high-risk patients. *Health Technology Assessment* 2009; Vol 13: No 7
- 34 NICE medical technology guidance (MTG3), CardioQ-ODM oesophageal Doppler monitor March 30, 2011 <http://guidance.nice.org.uk/MTG3>
- 35 Esophageal Doppler Ultrasound-Based Cardiac Output Monitoring for Real-Time Therapeutic Management of Hospitalized Patients - A Review. Agency For Health Research and Quality (AHRQ) January 16, 2007
- 36 Decision Memo for Ultrasound Diagnostic Procedures (CAG-00309R). Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) May 22, 2007
- 37 Maeso S, Callejo D, Hernández R, Blasco JA, Andradas E. Esophageal Doppler Monitoring during Colorectal Resection Offers Cost-Effective Improvement of Hemodynamic Control. *Value in Health* 2011; 14: 818-26
- 38 Lansdale: HAYES, Inc. LiDCO cardiac sensor systems (LiDCO Ltd.) for monitoring cardiac output. Healthcare Technology Brief Publication. 2011.
- 39 Mayer J, Boldt J, Mengistu AM, Röhm KD, Suttner S. Goal-directed intraoperative therapy based on autocalibrated arterial pressure waveform analysis reduces hospital stay in high-risk surgical patients: a randomized, controlled trial. *Crit Care* 2010; 14(1): R18